arXiv:1608.05669v1 [math.AT] 19 Aug 2016

THE CLASS OF EISENBUD–KHIMSHIASHVILI–LEVINE IS THE LOCAL $\mathbf{A}^1$-BROUWER DEGREE

JESSE LEO KASS AND KIRSTEN WICKELGREN

ABSTRACT. Given a polynomial function with an isolated zero at the origin, we prove that the local $\mathbf{A}^1$-Brouwer degree equals the Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine class. This answers a question posed by David Eisenbud in 1978. We give an application to counting nodes together with associated arithmetic information by enriching Milnor's equality between the local degree of the gradient and the number of nodes into which a hypersurface singularity degenerates to an equality in the Grothendieck–Witt group.

We prove that the Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine class of a polynomial function with an isolated zero at the origin is the local $\mathbf{A}^1$-Brouwer degree, a result that answers a question of Eisenbud.

The classical local Brouwer degree $\deg_0(f)$ of a continuous function $f\colon \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ with an isolated zero at the origin is the image $\deg(f/|f|) \in \mathbf{Z}$ of the map of $(n-1)$-spheres

$$f/|f|\colon S^{n-1}_\epsilon \to S^{n-1},\ \epsilon > 0 \text{ sufficiently small,}$$

under the global Brouwer degree homomorphism $\deg\colon [S^{n-1}_\epsilon, S^{n-1}] \to \mathbf{Z}$.

When f is a C^∞ function, Eisenbud–Levine and independently Khimshiashvili constructed a real nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (more precisely, an isomorphism class of such forms) $w_0(f)$ on the local algebra $Q_0(f) := C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/(f)$ and proved

$$\deg_0(f) = \text{ the signature of } w_0(f) \tag{1}$$

([EL77, Theorem 1.2], [Khi77]; see also [AGZV12, Chapter 5] and [Khi01]). If we further assume that f is real analytic, then we can form the complexification $f_{\mathbf{C}}\colon \mathbf{C}^n \to \mathbf{C}^n$, and Palamodov [Pal67, Corollary 4] proved an analogous result for $f_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$\deg_0(f_{\mathbf{C}}) = \text{ the rank of } w_0(f). \tag{2}$$

Eisenbud observed that the definition of $w_0(f)$ remains valid when f is a polynomial with coefficients in an arbitrary field k and asked whether this form can be identified with a degree in algebraic topology [Eis78, Some remaining questions (3)]. Here we answer Eisenbud's question by proving that $w_0(f)$ is the local Brouwer degree defined in $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy theory. More specifically, we prove

Main Theorem. *If $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ has an isolated zero at the origin, then*

$$\deg_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) = \textit{the stable isomorphism class of } w_0(f). \tag{3}$$

Date: August 22, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F42; Secondary 14B05, 55M25.

Recall that the degree map in $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy theory was described by Morel in his 2006 presentation at the International Congress of Mathematicians. In $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy theory, one of several objects that plays the role of the sphere is $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n}$, the n-fold smash product of the projective line with itself. Morel constructed a homomorphism

$$\deg^{\mathbf{A}^1} \colon [(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n}, (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n}] \to \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

from the $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy classes of endomorphisms of $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n}$ to the Groethendieck–Witt group, which is the groupification of the monoid of (isomorphism classes of) nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms over k. The local degree is defined in terms of the global degree in the natural manner, as we explain in Section 2.

The proof of the Main Theorem runs as follows. When f has a simple zero at the origin, we prove the result by directly computing that both sides of (3) are represented by the class of the Jacobian $\langle \det(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(0))\rangle$. Using the result for a simple zero, we then prove the result when f has an arbitrary zero. We begin by reducing to the case where f is the restriction of a morphism $F\colon \mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ satisfying certain technical conditions (those in Assumption 18) that include the condition that all zeros of F other than the origin are simple. For every closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$, Scheja–Storch have constructed a bilinear form whose class $w_x(F)$ equals the Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine class when $x = 0$. From the result on simple zeros, we deduce that

$$\sum_{x\in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} \deg_x(F) = \sum_{x\in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} w_x(F) \tag{4}$$

holds for $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ a regular value. For $\overline{y}$ arbitrary (and possibly not a regular value), we show that both sums in (4) are independent of $\overline{y}$, allowing us to conclude that (4) holds for all $\overline{y}$. In particular, equality holds for $\overline{y} = 0$. For $\overline{y} = 0$, we have $\deg_x(F) = w_x(F)$ for $x \in f^{-1}(0)$ not equal to the origin by the result for simple zeros, and taking differences, we deduce the equality for $\deg_0(F) = w_0(F)$, which is the Main Theorem.

We propose counting singularities arithmetically, and in Section 6, we do so using the Main Theorem in the manner that we now describe. For the sake of simplicity, suppose that n is even, and let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the equation of an isolated hypersurface singularity

$$0 \in X := \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$$

at the origin. We define the arithmetic (or $\mathbf{A}^1$–) Milnor number by $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) := \deg_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{grad}(f))$ and show that this invariant is an arithmetic count of the nodes (or A_1-singularities) to which X bifurcates. More specifically, define the arithmetic type of the node $\{u_1x_1^2 + \cdots + u_nx_n^2 = 0\}$ to be $2^n \cdot u_1 \dots u_n \in k^*/(k^*)^2$ and assume $\mathrm{grad}(f)$ is finite, separable and, for simplicity, has only the origin as a zero. Then for general $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$, the family

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = t$$

over the affine t-line contains only nodal fibers as singular fibers, and writing these nodes as $x_i \in X_i$, we have

$$\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) = \sum (\text{arithmetic type of } x_i \in X_i) \text{ in } \mathrm{GW}(k). \tag{5}$$

(We have only defined the arithmetic type when the residue field of $x_i \in X_i$ is k; see Definition 39 for the general definition.)

Taking the rank of both sides of Equation (5), we deduce that the number of nodal fibers equals the rank of $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$. When $k = \mathbf{C}$, this fact was observed by Milnor [Mil68, page 113, Remark], and (5) should be viewed as an enrichment of Milnor's result from an equality of integers to an equality of classes in $\mathrm{GW}(k)$. When $k = \mathbf{R}$, the real realization of Equation (5) was essentially proven by Wall [Wal83, page 347, esp. second displayed equation].

Through Equation (5), the arithmetic Milnor number provides a computable constraint on the nodes to which a hypersurface singularity can bifurcate. An an illustration, consider the cusp (or A_2-singularity) $\{x_1^2 + x_2^3 = 0\}$ over the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of p-adic numbers. A computation shows that $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$ has rank 2 and discriminant $-1 \in \mathbf{Q}_p^*/(\mathbf{Q}_p^*)^2$. When $p = 5$, $-1 \neq 1 \cdot 2$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p^*/(\mathbf{Q}_p^*)^2$, so we conclude that the cusp cannot bifurcate to the split node $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0\}$ and the nonsplit node $\{x_1^2 + 2 \cdot x_2 = 0\}$. When $p = 11$, $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$ does not provide such an obstruction and in fact, those two nodes are the singulars fibers of $x_1^2 + x_2^3 + 10 \cdot x_2 = t$. We discuss this example in more detail towards the end of Section 6.

The results of this paper are related to results in the literature. We have already discussed the work of Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine and Palamodov describing $w_0(f)$ when $k = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$. When k is an ordered field, Böttger–Storch studied the properties of $w_0(f)$ in [BS11]. They defined the mapping degree of $f\colon \mathbf{A}_k^n \to \mathbf{A}_k^n$ to be the signature of $w_0(f)$ [BS11, 4.2 Definition, 4.3 Remark] and then proved that the mapping degree is a signed count of the points in the preimage of a regular value [BS11, Theorem 4.5].

Grigor'ev–Ivanov studied $w_0(f)$ when k is an arbitrary field in [GI80]. They prove that a sum of these classes in a certain quotient of the Grothendieck–Witt group is a well-defined invariant of a rank n vector bundle on a suitable n-dimensional smooth projective variety [GI80, Theorem 2]. (This invariant should be viewed as an analogue of the Euler number. Recall that, on an oriented n-dimensional manifold, the Euler number of an oriented rank n vector bundle can be expressed as a sum of the local Brouwer degrees associated to a general global section.)

The Main Theorem is also related to Cazanave's work on the global $\mathbf{A}^1$-degree of a rational function. In [Caz08, Caz12], Cazanave proved that the global $\mathbf{A}^1$-degree of a rational function $F\colon \mathbf{P}_k^1 \to \mathbf{P}_k^1$ is the class represented by the Bézout matrix, an explicit symmetric matrix. The class $w_0(f)$ is a local contribution to the class of the Bézout matrix because the global degree is a sum of local degrees, so it is natural to expect the Bézout matrix to be directly related a bilinear form on $Q_0(f)$. As we explain in the companion paper [KW16a], such a direct relation holds: the Bézout matrix is the Gram matrix of the residue form, a symmetric bilinear form with orthogonal summand representing $w_0(f)$.

CONVENTIONS

We write P or P_x for the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\mathfrak{m}_0$ for the ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \subset P$. We write P_y for $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. We write $\widetilde{P}$ for the graded ring $k[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ with grading $\deg(X_i) = 1$. We then have $\mathbf{P}_k^n = \mathrm{Proj}\, \widetilde{P}$.

If $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ is a polynomial function, then we write $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in P_x$ for the components of f. We say a polynomial $f \in P$ has an isolated zero at a closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ if the local algebra $Q_x(f) := P_{\mathfrak{m}_x}/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ has finite length. We say that a closed point x of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ is isolated in its fiber $f^{-1}(f(x))$ if x is a connected component $f^{-1}(f(x))$, or equivalently, if there is a Zariski open neighborhood of U of x in $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ such that f maps $U - \{x\}$ to $\mathbf{A}^n_k - \{f(x)\}$. Note that if f has an isolated zero at the origin, then $Q_0(f)$ has dimension 0, which implies that the connected component of $f^{-1}(0) \cong \operatorname{Spec} P/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ containing 0 contains no other points, whence 0 is isolated in its fiber.

Using homogeneous coordinates $[X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ for $\mathbf{P}^n$, we use $\mathbf{A}^n$ to denote the open subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^n$ where $X_0 \neq 0$, and $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ to denote its closed complement isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$.

For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a smooth scheme X, let $\operatorname{Th}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the Thom space of $\mathcal{E}$ of Section 3 Definition 2.16 of [MV99], i.e., $\operatorname{Th}(\mathcal{E})$ is the pointed sheaf

$$\operatorname{Th}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}/(\mathcal{E} - z(X)),$$

where $z : X \to \mathcal{E}$ denotes the zero section.

It will be convenient to work in the stable $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy category $\mathbf{Spt}(B)$ of $\mathbf{P}^1$-spectra over B, where B is a finite type scheme over k. Most frequently, $B = L$, where L is a field extension of k. The notation $[-,-]_{\mathbf{Spt}(B)}$ will be used for the morphisms. $\mathbf{Spt}(B)$ is a symmetric monoidal category under the smash product $\wedge$, with unit 1_B, denoting the sphere spectrum. Any pointed simplicial presheaf X determines a corresponding $\mathbf{P}^1$-suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X$. For example, $\Sigma^\infty \operatorname{Spec} L_+ \cong 1_L$ and $\Sigma^\infty(\mathbf{P}^1_L)^{\wedge n}$ is a suspension of 1_L. When working in $\mathbf{Spt}(L)$, we will identify pointed spaces X with their suspension spectra $\Sigma^\infty X$, omitting the Σ^∞. We will use the six operations $(p^*, p_*, p_!, p^!, \wedge, \operatorname{Hom})$ given by Ayoub [Ayo07] and developed by Ayoub, and Cisinksi-Déglise [CD12]. There is a nice summary in [Hoy14, §2]. We use the following associated notation and constructions. When $p : X \to Y$ is smooth, p^* admits a left adjoint, denoted $p_\sharp$, induced by the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Sm}_X \to \operatorname{Sm}_Y$ from smooth schemes over X to smooth schemes over Y. For $p : X \to \operatorname{Spec} L$ a smooth scheme over L, the suspension spectrum of X is canonically identified with $p_! p^! 1_L$ as an object of $\mathbf{Spt}(L)$. For a vector bundle $p : E \to X$, the Thom spectrum $\Sigma^\infty \operatorname{Th}(E)$ (or just $\operatorname{Th}(E)$) is canonically identified with $s^* p^! 1_X$. Let $\Sigma^E = s^* p^! : \mathbf{Spt}(X) \to \mathbf{Spt}(X)$. Let $e : E \to X$ and $d : D \to Y$ be two vector bundles over smooth schemes $p : X \to \operatorname{Spec} L$ and $q : Y \to \operatorname{Spec} L$ over L. Given a map $f : Y \to X$ and a monomorphism $\phi : D \hookrightarrow f^* E$, there is an associated natural transformation

$$\operatorname{Th}_f \phi : q_! \Sigma^D q^! \to p_! \Sigma^E p^!$$

of endofunctors on $\mathbf{Spt}(L)$ inducing the map on Thom spectra. The natural transformation $\operatorname{Th}_f \phi$ is defined as the composition

$$\operatorname{Th}_f \phi = \operatorname{Th}_f 1_{f^* E} \circ \operatorname{Th}_{1_Y} \phi. \tag{6}$$

The natural transformation $\operatorname{Th}_{1_Y} \phi$ is the composition

$$t^* d^! \cong t^* \phi^! e^! \to t^* \phi^* e^! \cong s^* e^!,$$

where $t : Y \to D$ denotes the zero section of D, $s : X \to E$ denotes the zero section of E, and the middle arrow is induced by the exchange transformation $\phi^! \cong 1^* \phi^* \to 1^! \phi^* \cong \phi^*$.

The natural transformation $\mathrm{Th}_f 1_{f^*E}$ is the composition

$$\mathrm{Th}_f 1 : q_!\Sigma^{f^*E}q^! \cong p_!f_!\Sigma^{f^*E}f^!p^! \cong p_!\Sigma^E f_!f^!p^! \xrightarrow{\epsilon} p_!\Sigma^E p^!, \tag{7}$$

where $\epsilon : f_!f^! \to 1$ denotes the counit.

1. The Grothendieck–Witt class of Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine

In this section we recall the definition of the Grothendieck–Witt class $w_0(f)$ studied by Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine. We compute the class when f has a nondegenerate zero and when f is the gradient of the equation of an ADE singularity. Here $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ is a polynomial function with an isolated zero at the origin. We write $f_1, \dots, f_n \in P$ for the components of f.

Definition 1. Suppose that $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ is a closed point such that $y = f(x)$ has residue field k. Writing the maximal ideal of x and y respectively as $\mathfrak{m}_x$ and $\mathfrak{m}_y = (y_1 - \overline{b}_1, \dots, y_n - \overline{b}_n)$, we define the **local algebra** $Q_x(f)$ of f at a closed point x to be $P_{\mathfrak{m}_x}/(f_1 - \overline{b}_1, \dots, f_n - \overline{b}_n)$. We also write Q for $Q_0(f)$, the local algebra at the origin.

The **distinguished socle element** at the origin $E = E_0(f) \in Q_0(f)$ is

$$E_0(f) := \det\left(a_{i,j}\right)$$

for $a_{i,j} \in P$ polynomials satisfying

$$f(x) = f(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}x_j.$$

The **Jacobian element** at the origin $J = J_0(f) \in Q_0(f)$ is

$$J_0(f) := \det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right).$$

Remark 2. The elements E and J are related by $J = (\operatorname{rank}_k Q_0(f)) \cdot E$ [SS75, (4.7) Korollar]. From this, we see that the two elements contain essentially the same information when $k = \mathbf{R}$ (the case studied in [EL77]), but E contains more information when the characteristic of k divides the rank of $Q_0(f)$.

Lemma 3. *If f has an isolated zero at the origin, then the socle of $Q_0(f)$ is generated by E.*

Proof. Since Q is Gorenstein (by e.g. Lemma 24) with residue field k, the socle is 1-dimensional, so it is enough to prove that E is nonzero and in the socle. This follows from the proof of [SS75, (4.7) Korolllar]. In the proof, Scheja–Storch show that $E = \Theta(\pi)$ for $\pi\colon Q \to k$ the evaluation function $\pi(a) = a(0)$ and $\Theta\colon \mathrm{Hom}_k(Q, k) \cong Q$ a certain isomorphism of Q-modules (for the Q-module structure on $\mathrm{Hom}_k(Q, k)$ defined by $(a \cdot \phi)(b) = \phi(a \cdot b)$). The maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of Q must annihilate E since the ideal annihilates π, and so E lies in the socle. Furthermore, E is nonzero since π is nonzero. □

Definition 4. If $\phi\colon Q_0(f) \to k$ is a k-linear function, then we define a symmetric bilinear form $\beta_\phi\colon Q \times Q \to k$ by $\beta_\phi(a_1, a_2) := \phi(a_1 \cdot a_2)$.

Lemma 5. *If ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are k-linear functions satisfying $\phi_1(E) = \phi_2(E)$ in $k/(k^*)^2$, then β_{ϕ_1} is isomorphic to β_{ϕ_2}. Furthermore, if $\phi(E) \neq 0$, then β_ϕ is nondegenerate.*

Proof. Since E generates the socle, the result follows from [EL77, Propositions 3.4, 3.5]. □

Definition 6. The Grothendieck–Witt **class of Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine** or the **EKL class** is $w = w_0(f) \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$ is the Groethendieck–Witt class of β_ϕ for any k-linear function $\phi\colon Q \to k$ satisfying $\phi(E) = 1$.

Recall that the Grothendieck–Witt group $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ of k is the groupification of the monoid of nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms [Lam05, Definition 1.1]. The Grothendieck–Witt class $w_0(f)$ is independent of the choice of ϕ by Lemma 5.

In this paper we focus on the class $w_0(f)$, but in work recalled in Section 4, Scheja–Storch constructed a distinguished symmetric bilinear form β_0 that represents $w_0(f)$. This symmetric bilinear form encodes more information than $w_0(f)$ when f is a polynomial in 1 variable, and we discuss this topic in greater detail in [KW16a, Section 4].

To conclude this section, we explicitly describe some ELK classes. The descriptions are in terms of the following classes.

Definition 7. Given $a_1, \dots, a_m \in k^*$, we define $\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$ to be the class of the symmetric bilinear form

$$\beta\colon k^{\oplus m} \times k^{\oplus m} \to k,$$
$$\beta((a_1, \dots, a_m), (b_1, \dots, b_m)) = a_1 \cdot a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_m \cdot a_m b_m.$$

The standard hyperbolic form $\mathbf{H}$ is the symmetric bilinear form

$$\beta\colon k^{\oplus 2} \times k^{\oplus 2} \to k,$$
$$\beta((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1.$$

The class of $\mathbf{H}$ equals $\langle 1, -1 \rangle$ in $\mathrm{GW}(k)$.

The following lemma describes $w_0(f)$ when f has a simple zero.

Lemma 8. *If f has a simple zero at the origin, then $w_0(f) = \left\langle \det \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(0) \right\rangle$.*

Proof. We have $Q_0(f) = k$ and $E = \det \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(0)$. The element $E \in Q_0(f)$ is then a k-basis, and $w_0(f)$ is represented by the form β_ϕ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_\phi(E, E) =& \phi(\det \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(0) \cdot E) \\ =& \det \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(0).\end{aligned}$$

□

When f has an arbitrary isolated zero, the following procedure computes $w_0(f)$.

(1) Compute a standard basis for $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and a k-basis for $Q_0(f)$.
(2) Express E in terms the k-basis by performing a local division.

(3) Define an explicit k-linear function $\phi\colon Q_0(f) \to k$ satisfying $\phi(E) = 1$ using the k-basis.
(4) For every pair b_i, b_j of basis elements, express $b_i \cdot b_j$ in terms of the k-basis by performing a local division and then use that expression to evaluate $\phi(b_i \cdot b_j)$.

The matrix with entries $\phi(b_i \cdot b_j)$ is the Gram matrix of a symmetric bilinear form that represents $w_0(f)$.

Table 1 describes some classes that were computing using this procedure. The table should be read as follows. The second column displays a polynomial g, namely the polynomial equation of the ADE singularity named in the first column. The associated gradient $\mathrm{grad}(g) := (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y})$ is a polynomial function $\mathbf{A}^2_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{A}^2_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with an isolated zero at the origin, and the third column is its ELK class $w_0(\mathrm{grad}(g)) \in \mathrm{GW}(\mathbf{Q})$. (We consider g as a polynomial with rational coefficients.)

TABLE 1. ELK classes for ADE singularities

Singularity	Equation g	$w_0(\mathrm{grad}(g)) \in \mathrm{GW}(\mathbf{Q})$
A_n, n odd	$x_1^2 + x_2^{n+1}$	$\frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \mathbb{H} + \langle 2(n+1)\rangle$
A_n, n even	$x_1^2 + x_2^{n+1}$	$\frac{n}{2} \cdot \mathbb{H}$
D_n, n even	$x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^{n-2})$	$\frac{n-2}{2} \cdot \mathbb{H} + \langle -2, 2(n-1)\rangle$
D_n, n odd	$x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^{n-2})$	$\frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \mathbb{H} + \langle -2\rangle$
E_6	$x_1^3 + x_2^4$	$3 \cdot \mathbb{H}$
E_7	$x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^3)$	$3 \cdot \mathbb{H} + \langle -3\rangle$
E_8	$x_1^3 + x_2^5$	$4 \cdot \mathbb{H}$

2. LOCAL $\mathbf{A}^1$-BROUWER DEGREE

Morel's $\mathbf{A}^1$-Brouwer degree homomorphism

$$\deg : [(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n}, (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n}] \to \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

gives rise to a notion of local degree, which we describe in this section. We then show that the degree is the sum of local degrees under appropriate hypotheses (Proposition 13), and that when f is étale at x, the local degree is computed by $\deg^{\mathbf{A}^1}_x f = \mathrm{Tr}_{k(x)/k}\langle J(x)\rangle$, where $J(x)$ denotes the Jacobian determinant $J = \det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$ evaluated at x (Proposition 14). For endomorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^1_k$, these notions and properties are stated in [Mor04] [Mor06], and build on ideas of J. Lannes.

To motivate the definition, recall that to define the local topological Brouwer degree of $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ at a point x, one can choose a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and take the $\mathbf{Z}$-valued topological degree of the map

$$S^{n-1} \cong \{z : \|z - x\| = \epsilon\} \xrightarrow{\frac{f-f(x)}{\|f-f(x)\|}} \{z : \|z\| = 1\} \cong S^{n-1}\ .$$

By translation and scaling, the map $\frac{f-f(x)}{\|f-f(x)\|}$ can be replaced by the map induced by f from the boundary $\partial B(x,\epsilon)$ of a small ball $B(x,\epsilon)$ centered at x to a boundary $\partial B(f(x),\epsilon')$ of a small ball centered at $f(x)$. The suspension of this map can be identified with map induced by f

$$f : \frac{B(x,\epsilon)}{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} \to \frac{B(f(x),\epsilon')}{\partial B(f(x),\epsilon')}, \tag{8}$$

from the homotopy cofiber of the inclusion $\partial B(x,\epsilon) \to B(x,\epsilon)$ to the analogous homotopy cofiber. As $\frac{B(x,\epsilon)}{\partial B(x,\epsilon)}$ is also the homotopy cofiber of $B(x,\epsilon)-\{x\} \to B(x,\epsilon)$, we are free to use the latter construction for the (co)domain in (8):

$$f : \frac{B(x,\epsilon)}{B(x,\epsilon)-\{x\}} \to \frac{B(f(x),\epsilon')}{B(f(x),\epsilon')-\{f(x)\}}. \tag{9}$$

In $\mathbf{A}^1$-algebraic topology, the absence of small balls around points whose boundaries are spheres makes the definition of local degree using the map $\frac{f-f(x)}{\|f-f(x)\|}$ problematic. However, the map (9) generalizes to a map between spheres by Morel and Voevodsky's Purity Theorem. This allows us to define a local degree when x and $f(x)$ are both rational points, as in the definition of f'_x given below. When x is not rational, we precompose with the collapse map from the sphere $\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}$ to obtain Definition 10. This is shown to be compatible with the former definition (Proposition 11).

We now give Definition 10, first introducing the necessary notation.

By [MV99, Proposition 2.17 numbers 1 and 3, p. 112], there is a canonical $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalence $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{\wedge n} \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k$ as both can be identified with the Thom space $\mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^n_k)$ of the trivial rank n bundle on $\mathrm{Spec}\, k$. Thus we may take the degree of a map $\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k$ in the homotopy category.

Let x be a closed point of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$, and let $f : \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ be a function such that x is isolated in its fiber $f^{-1}(f(x))$. Choose a Zariski open neighborhood U of x such that f maps $U-\{x\}$ into $\mathbf{A}^n_k - \{f(x)\}$. The Nisnevich local homotopy push-out diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U-\{x\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^n_k \end{array}$$

induces a canonical homotopy equivalence $U/(U-\{x\}) \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}$.

There is a trivialization of $T_x\mathbf{P}^n_k$ coming from the isomorphism $T_x\mathbf{P}^n_k \cong T_x\mathbf{A}^n_k$ and the canonical trivialization of $T_x\mathbf{A}^n$. Purity thus induces an $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalence $\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}) \cong \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^n_k)$. As above, [MV99, Proposition 2.17 number 3, p.112] gives a canonical $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalence $\mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^n_k) \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$. Let $r : \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$ denote the composite $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalence.

Lemma 9. *For any k-point x of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$, the composition*

$$c_x : \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}) \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$$

of the collapse map with r is $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy equivalent to the identity.

For $n = 1$, this is [Hoy14, Lemma 5.4], and the proof generalizes to the case of larger n, the essential content being [Voe03, Lemma 2.2].

Proof. Suppose x has homogeneous coordinates $[1, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$, and let $[X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ denote homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^n_k$. Let $f : \mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ be the automorphism

$$f([X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n]) = [X_0, X_1 + a_1X_0, \ldots, X_n + a_nX_0].$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{0\}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{r} & \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\ \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{r} & \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \end{array}$$

commutes by naturality of Purity [Voe03, Lemma 2.1] and the compatibility of the trivializations of $T_x\mathbf{P}^n_k$ and $T_0\mathbf{P}^n_k$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{0\}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{f}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}) \end{array}$$

comparing collapse maps via the maps induced by f commutes by definition. Since

$$[X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n] \times t \mapsto [X_0, X_1 + a_1tX_0, \ldots, X_n + a_ntX_0]$$

defines a naive homotopy between $\overline{f}$ and the identity, it suffices to show the lemma when x is the origin. This case follows from [Voe03, Lemma 2.2] and [MV99, Proposition 2.17 proof of number 3, p. 112].

□

In particular, the collapse map $\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\})$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Definition 10. The *local degree* (or local $\mathbf{A}^1$-Brouwer degree) $\deg^{\mathbf{A}^1}_x f$ of f at x is Morel's $\mathbf{A}^1$-degree homomorphism applied to a map

$$f_x : \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k$$

in the homotopy category, where f_x is defined to be the composition

$$\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\} \xleftarrow{\cong} U/(U - \{x\}) \xrightarrow{f|_U} \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{f(x)\}) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k$$

When x is a k-point, it is perhaps more natural to define the local degree in the following equivalent manner: the trivialization of the tangent space of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ gives canonical $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalences $U/(U - \{x\}) \cong \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^n_k)$ and $\mathbf{A}^n_k/(\mathbf{A}^n_k - \{f(x)\}) \cong \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^n_k)$ by Purity [MV99, Theorem 2.23, p. 115]. As above, we have a canonical $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalence $\mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^n_k) \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$. The local degree of f at x is the degree of the map in the homotopy category

$$f'_x : \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \cong U/(U - \{x\}) \xrightarrow{f|_U} \mathbf{A}^n_k/(\mathbf{A}^n_k - \{f(x)\}) \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$$

as we now show.

Proposition 11.

$$\deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1} f = \deg f'_x$$

Proof. Let $c_{f(x)}^{-1}$ denote the inverse in the homotopy category of $c_{f(x)}$ as defined in Lemma 9. The definitions produce the equality $c_{f(x)}^{-1} f'_x c_x = f_x$, which implies the result by Lemma 9.

□

Lemma 12. *Let x be a closed point of $\mathbf{A}_k^n$. The collapse map*

$$\mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \to \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^n - \{x\}) \cong \operatorname{Th} T_x\mathbf{P}_k^n \cong \operatorname{Th} T_x\mathbf{A}^n \cong \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+$$

is $\mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \wedge (-)$ applied to the canonical map

$$\eta : 1_k \to p_* p^* 1_k \cong p_* 1_{k(x)} \tag{10}$$

in $\mathbf{Spt}(k)$, where $p : \operatorname{Spec} k(x) \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ is the structure map, and the last equivalence is from [MV99, 3. Prop 2.17, p. 112].

For $n = 1$, this is [Hoy14, Lemma 5.5], and Hoyois's proof generalizes to higher n as follows.

Proof. As above, consider the trivialization of $T_x\mathbf{P}_k^n$ coming from the isomorphism $T_x\mathbf{P}_k^n \cong T_x\mathbf{A}^n$ and the canonical trivialization of $T_x\mathbf{A}^n$. The closed immersion $x : \operatorname{Spec} k(x) \to \mathbf{P}_n^k$ and this trivialization determine a Euclidean embedding in the sense of Hoyois [Hoy14, Definition 3.8]. This Euclidean embedding determines an isomorphism $\mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^n - \{x\}) \cong \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \wedge p_! 1_{k(x)}$ in $\mathbf{Spt}(k)$ by [Hoy14, 3.9] and the identification $\operatorname{Th} \mathcal{O}_k^n \cong \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1})$ of [MV99, 3. Prop 2.17, p. 112]. Since p is finite étale, there is a canonical equivalence $p_! 1_{k(x)} \cong \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+$, and these identifications agree with the isomorphism $\mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^n - \{x\}) \cong \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+$ in the statement of the lemma. By [Hoy14, Proposition 3.14], it thus suffices to show that a certain composition

$$\mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+ \to \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^n - \{x\}) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+ \xrightarrow{h} \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+ \tag{11}$$

of the collapse map $\mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}) \to \mathbf{P}_k^n/(\mathbf{P}_k^n - \{x\})$ smash $\operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+$ with a map h is the identity in $\mathbf{Spt}(k)$.

To define h, introduce the following notation. Let

$$x_{k(x)} : \operatorname{Spec} k(x) \otimes k(x) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^n$$

be the base change of x. Let

$$\tilde{x} = x_{k(x)} \circ \Delta : \operatorname{Spec} k(x) \to \mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^n$$

be the composition of the diagonal with $x_{k(x)}$. Let

$$r : \mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^n/(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^n - \{\tilde{x}\}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^n/\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^{n-1}$$

be as Lemma 9 with k replaced by $k(x)$. Using the identifications $\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+ \cong \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)} - x_{k(x)})$ and $\mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_{k(x)}) \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \wedge \operatorname{Spec} k(x)_+$, we can view h as a map

$$h : \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)} - x_{k(x)}) \to \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_{k(x)}).$$

Then h is the composition

$$\mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)} - x_{k(x)}) \to \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)} - \{\tilde{x}\}) \xrightarrow{r} \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_{k(x)})$$

Then the composition (11) is identified with $p_\sharp$ applied to the composition in Lemma 9 of the collapse map with r for the rational point $\tilde{x} : \operatorname{Spec} k(x) \to \mathbf{A}^n_{k(x)}$, completing the proof by Lemma 9. □

The degree of an endomorphism of $\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$ is the sum of local degrees under the following hypotheses.

Proposition 13. *Let $f : \mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ be a finite map such that $f^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^n_k) = \mathbf{A}^n_k$, and let $\overline{f}$ denote the induced map $\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k) \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k)$. Then for any k-point y of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$,*

$$\deg \overline{f} = \Sigma_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \deg_x f.$$

Proof. By Purity [MV99, Theorem 2.23, p. 115], $\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - f^{-1}\{y\})$ is the Thom space of the normal bundle to $f^{-1}\{y\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n_k$. The Thom space of a vector bundle on a disjoint union is the wedge sum of the Thom spaces of the vector bundle's restrictions to the connected components. It follows that the quotient maps

$$\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - f^{-1}\{y\}) \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\})$$

for x in $f^{-1}(y)$ determine an $\mathbf{A}^1$-weak equivalence

$$\mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - f^{-1}\{y\}) \to \vee_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\}).$$

There is a commutative diagram



Apply $[\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k, -]_{\mathbf{Spt}(k)}$ to the above diagram, and let $\overline{f}_*$ be the induced map

$$\overline{f}_* : [\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k, \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k]_{\mathbf{Spt}(k)} \to [\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k, \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k]_{\mathbf{Spt}(k)}.$$

Because the wedge and the product are stably isomorphic,

$$[\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k, \vee_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\})]_{\mathbf{A}^1} \cong \oplus_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} [\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k, \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{x\})]_{\mathbf{Spt}(k)}$$

and on the right hand side, k_x induces the inclusion of the summand indexed by x. The image of the identity map under $\overline{f}_*$ can be identified with $\deg \overline{f}$. Using the outer composition in the commutative diagram, we see that the image of the identity map under $\overline{f}_*$ can also be identified with $\Sigma_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \deg_x f$. □

We now give a computation of the local degree at points where f is étale.

Proposition 14. *Let $f : \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ be a morphism of schemes and x be a closed point of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ such that $f(x) = y$ is k-rational and x is isolated in $f^{-1}(y)$. If f is étale at x, then the local degree is computed by*

$$\deg^{\mathbf{A}^1}_x f = \mathrm{Tr}_{k(x)/k}\langle J(x)\rangle,$$

where $J(x)$ denotes the Jacobian determinant $J = \det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$ evaluated at x, and $k(x)$ denotes the residue field of x.

Proof. We work in $\mathbf{Spt}(k)$. Let $p : \operatorname{Spec} k(x) \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ denote the structure map.

Since f is étale at x, the induced map of tangent spaces $df(x) : T_x\mathbf{A}^n_k \to f^*T_{f(x)}\mathbf{A}^n_k$ is a monomorphism. Thus $df(x)$ induces a map on Thom spectra, which factors as in the following commutative diagram (see Conventions (6)):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Th}\, T_x\mathbf{A}^n_k & & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathrm{Th}_f(df(x))\quad} & & \mathrm{Th}\, T_{f(x)}\mathbf{A}^n_k \\ \updownarrow\cong & & & & \updownarrow\cong \\ \mathrm{Th}\,\mathcal{O}^n_{\operatorname{Spec} k(x)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Th}_{1_{\operatorname{Spec} k(x)}}\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)} & \mathrm{Th}\,\mathcal{O}^n_{\operatorname{Spec} k(x)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Th}_p\, 1_{p^*\mathcal{O}^n_{\operatorname{Spec} k}}} & \mathrm{Th}\,\mathcal{O}^n_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \end{array} \tag{12}$$

The naturality of the Purity isomorphism [Voe03, Lemma 2.1] gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U/(U - \{x\}) & \xrightarrow{f|_U} & \mathbf{P}^n_k/(\mathbf{P}^n_k - \{f(x)\}) \\ \cong\uparrow & & \cong\uparrow \\ \mathrm{Th}\, T_xU & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Th}_f(df(x))} & \mathrm{Th}\, T_{f(x)}\mathbf{P}^n_k. \end{array} \tag{13}$$

The isomorphisms $T_xU \cong T_x\mathbf{A}^n_k$ and $\mathrm{Th}\, T_{f(x)}\mathbf{A}^n_k \cong \mathrm{Th}\, T_{f(x)}\mathbf{P}^n_k$ allow us to stack Diagram (13) on top of Diagram (12). We then expand the resulting diagram to express the map f_x from Definition 10 in terms of $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$.

(14)



We have applied Lemma 12 to identify the diagonal maps.

We furthermore have an identification (see Conventions (7)) of $\mathrm{Th}_p 1_{p^*\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k}}$ with the composition

$$p_! \Sigma^{p^*\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k}} 1_{k(x)} \to \Sigma^{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k}} p_! 1_{k(x)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Sigma^{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k}} 1_k.$$

Since p is étale, there is a canonical identification $p_! \cong p_\sharp$.

We may therefore identify f_x with the composition

$$\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \wedge \eta} \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_{k(x)} \cong \mathrm{Th}\, \mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k(x)} \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)} \mathrm{Th}\, \mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k(x)} \cong \mathbf{P}^n_{k(x)}/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_{k(x)} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \wedge \epsilon} \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k$$

By [Hoy14, Lemma 5.3], we therefore have that f_x is the trace of the endomorphism $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Th}\, \mathcal{O}^n_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k(x)}$ in $\mathbf{Spt}(k)$. By [Hoy14, Theorem 1.9], it follows that $\deg f_x = \mathrm{Tr}_{k(x)/k}\langle J\rangle$.

□

3. Some finite determinacy results

Here we prove a finite determinacy result and then use that result to prove a result, Proposition 22, that allows us to reduce the proof of the Main Theorem to a case where f is étale at 0. In this section we fix a polynomial function $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ that has an isolated zero at the origin and write $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in P$ for the component functions.

The finite determinacy lemma is:

Lemma 15. *Given a polynomial function $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ with an isolated zero at the origin, there exists an integer $b > 0$ such that if $g\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ satisfies $f_i - g_i \in \mathfrak{m}_0^{b+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then*

1. *g has an isolated zero at the origin;*
2. *we have $Q_0(f) = Q_0(g)$ and $E_0(f) = E_0(g)$;*

(3) $\deg_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) = \deg_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(g)$.

Proof. Since $Q_0(f)$ is a finite length quotient of $P_{\mathfrak{m}_0}$, its defining ideal must contain a power of the maximal ideal, say $\mathfrak{m}_0^b \subset (f_1, \dots f_n)$. We will prove that any g satisfying $f_i - g_i \in \mathfrak{m}_0^{b+1}$ satisfies the desired conditions. To begin, we show the ideals $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ are equal. By the choice of b, we have $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \subset (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, and to see the reverse inclusion, we argue as follows. The elements $g_1, \dots, g_n$ generate $(g_1, \dots, g_n) + \mathfrak{m}_0^b$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_0^{b+1}$ (since, modulo $\mathfrak{m}_0^{b+1}$, the g_i's equal the f_i's), so by Nakayama's lemma, the g_i's generate $(g_1, \dots, g_n) + \mathfrak{m}_0^b$. In particular, $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \supset \mathfrak{m}_0^b$, and we conclude $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \supset (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ as before.

We immediately deduce $Q_0(f) = Q_0(g)$. To see that $E_0(f) = E_0(g)$, observe that if we write $g_i = f_i + \sum b_{i,j} x_j$ with $b_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{m}_0^b$ and $f_i = \sum a_{i,j} x_j$, then $g_i = \sum (a_{i,j} + b_{i,j}) x_j$ and

$$E_0(g) = \det(a_{i,j} + b_{i,j}).$$

Since $a_{i,j}$ equals $a_{i,j} + b_{i,j}$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_0^b$, these elements are equal modulo $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. Taking determinants, we conclude $E_0(f) = E_0(g)$. This proves (1) and (2).

We prove (3) by exhibiting an explicit naive $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy between the maps f_0' and f_0' on Thom spaces. Write

$$g_i = \sum n_{i,j} f_j \text{ in } P_{\mathfrak{m}_0}.$$

By definition, $f_i = g_i$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_0^{b+1}$, hence modulo $\mathfrak{m}_0 \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Moreover, $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a basis for the k-vector space $(f_1, \dots, f_n)/\mathfrak{m}_0 \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, so the matrix $(n_{i,j})$ must reduce to the identity matrix modulo $\mathfrak{m}_0$, allowing us to write $(n_{i,j}) = \mathrm{id}_n + (m_{i,j})$ with $m_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{m}_0$. Let $V \subset \mathbf{A}_k^n$ be a Zariski neighborhood of the origin such that the entries of the matrix $\mathrm{id}_n + (m_{i,j})$ are restrictions of elements of $H^0(V, \mathcal{O})$ that we denote by the same symbols. Now consider the matrix

$$M(x, t) := \mathrm{id}_n + (t \cdot m_{i,j}(x))$$

and the map

$$H\colon V \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^1 \to \mathbf{A}_k^n,$$
$$(x, t) \mapsto M(x, t) \cdot f(x).$$

The preimage $H^{-1}(0)$ contains $\{0\} \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^1$ as a connected component. Indeed, to see this is a connected component, it is enough to show that the subset is open. To show this, observe that the complement set is

$$\left(\{\det(M) = 0\} \cup (f^{-1}(0) - 0) \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^1\right) \cap H^{-1}(0).$$

The subset $\{\det(M) = 0\}$ is closed in $V \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^1$ as $\det(M)$ is a regular function, and $f^{-1}(0) - \{0\} \subset \mathbf{A}_k^n$ is closed as $0 \in f^{-1}(0)$ is a connected component by hypothesis.

The map H induces a map on quotient spaces

$$H\colon \frac{V \times \mathbf{A}_k^1}{V \times \mathbf{A}_k^1 - H^{-1}(0)} \to \frac{\mathbf{A}_k^n}{\mathbf{A}_k^n - 0}. \tag{15}$$

The quotient $\frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - 0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}$ naturally includes into $\frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - H^{-1}(0)}$ because, as $0\times \mathbf{A}^1$ is a connected component of $H^{-1}(0)$, $\frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - H^{-1}(0)}$ is canonically identified with the wedge sum of $\frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - 0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}$ and $\frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - W}$, where W is the complement of $0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k$ in $H^{-1}(0)$. Consider now the composition of the inclusion with H:

$$H\colon \frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - 0\times_k \mathbf{A}^1_k} \to \frac{\mathbf{A}^n_k}{\mathbf{A}^n_k - 0}. \tag{16}$$

The spaces appearing in this last equation are identified with the Thom spaces of normal bundles by the purity theorem, and these Thom spaces, in turn, are isomorphic to a smash product with $\mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k})$ because the relevant normal bundles are trivial:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbf{A}^n_k}{\mathbf{A}^n_k - 0} &= \mathrm{Th}(\{0\})\\ &= \{0\}_+ \wedge \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}),\\ \frac{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k}{V\times \mathbf{A}^1_k - 0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k} &= \mathrm{Th}(0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k)\\ &= (0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k)_+ \wedge \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}).\end{aligned}$$

These identifications identify (16) with a naive $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy

$$(0\times \mathbf{A}^1_k)_+ \wedge \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}) \to \{0\}_+ \wedge \mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)})$$

from f'_x to g'_x. □

Definition 16. If b is an integer satisfying the conditions of Lemma 15, then we say that f is **determined of order** b. If we are additionally given g satisfying the conditions of the lemma, then we say that g is **equivalent** to f at the origin.

Remark 17. If f is a polynomial function in 1 variable that contains a nonzero monomial of degree b, then f is b-determined. Indeed, we can assume b is the least such integer and then write $f = u\cdot x^b$ for $u \in k[x]$ a unit in $(P_x)_{m_0}$. The ideal (x^b) lies in (f), so the proof of Lemma 15 shows that f is b-determined. We make use of this fact in the companion paper [KW16a].

In the proof of the Main Theorem, we use Proposition 22 to reduce the proof to the special case where the following assumption holds:

Assumption 18. The polynomial function f is the restriction of a morphism $F\colon \mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ such that

(1) F is finite, flat, and with induced field extension $\mathrm{Frac}\, F_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k} \supset \mathrm{Frac}\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$ of degree coprime to p;
(2) F is étale at every point of $F^{-1}(0) - \{0\}$;
(3) F satisfies $F^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^n_k) \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$.

To reduce to the special case, we need to prove that, after possible passing from k to a field extension, every f is equivalent to a polynomial function satisfying Assumption 18,

and we conclude this section with a proof of this fact. The proof we give below is a modification of [BCRS96, Theorem 4.1], a theorem about real polynomial functions due to Becker–Cardinal–Roy–Szafraniec.

We will show that if f is a given polynomial function with an isolated zero at the origin, then for a general $h \in P$ that is homogeneous and of degree sufficiently large and coprime to p, the sum $f + h$ satisfies Assumption 18. This result is Proposition 22. We prove that result as a result about the affine space H_k^d parameterizing polynomial maps $h\colon \mathbf{A}_k^n \to \mathbf{A}_k^n$ given by n-tuples of homogeneous degree d polynomials. We show that the locus of h's such that $f + h$ fails to satisfy Assumption 18 is not equal to H_k^d by using the following three lemmas.

Lemma 19. *The subset of H_k^d corresponding to h's such that $h^{-1}(0) = 0$ is a nonempty Zariski open subset.*

Proof. The subset in question is nonempty since it contains e.g. $(x_1^d, \ldots, x_n^d)$. To see that it is open, consider image $I \subset \mathbf{P}(H_k^d)$ of the incidence variety

$$\{([h_1, \ldots, h_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])\colon h_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \cdots = h_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}(H_k^d) \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}.$$

under projection $\mathbf{P}(H_k^d) \times \mathbf{P}_k^{n-1} \to \mathbf{P}(H_k^d)$. The subset I is closed by the properness of the projection morphism. The preimage of I under the natural morphism $H_k^d - 0 \to \mathbf{P}(H_k^d)$ is closed in $H_k^d - 0$, hence the union of the preimage of I and 0 is closed in H_k^d. The complement of this closed subset is the subset of h's such that $h^{-1}(0) = 0$. □

The following lemma is used in Lemma 21 to bound a dimension.

Lemma 20. *Let $f\colon \mathbf{A}_k^n \to \mathbf{A}_k^n$ be a nonzero polynomial function satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbf{A}_k^n(k)$ a k-point that is not the origin 0. If $\sum \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}(a) \cdot a_i \neq d \cdot f_1(a)$, then the subset of H_k^d consisting of h's satisfying*

$$f_i(a) + h_i(a) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{17}$$

$$\det\left(\tfrac{\partial(f_i + h_i)}{\partial x_j}(a)\right) = 0 \tag{18}$$

is a Zariski closed subset of codimension $n + 1$.

Proof. It is enough, by the Krull principal ideal theorem, to show that (17) and (18), considered as regular functions on H_k^n, form a regular sequence. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \sum c_{\underline{i}}(1) x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \ldots x_n^{i_n}, \\ h_2 &= \sum c_{\underline{i}}(2) x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \ldots x_n^{i_n}, \\ &\cdots \\ h_n &= \sum c_{\underline{i}}(n) x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \ldots x_n^{i_n}, \end{aligned}$$

the coefficients $\{c_{\underline{i}}(1), \ldots, c_{\underline{i}}(n)\}$ are coordinates on the affine space H_k^d. As polynomials in these coefficients, the elements $f_1(a) + h_1(a), \ldots, f_n(a) + h_n(a)$ from (17) are affine linear equations, and distinct linear equations involve disjoint sets of variables, so we conclude

that the first set of elements form a regular sequence with quotient equal to a polynomial ring. In particular, the quotient is a domain, so to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that (18) has nonzero image in the quotient ring.

To show this, first make a linear change of variables so that $a = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Setting $\underline{i}_1 = (d, 0, \dots, 0)$, the elements (17) take the form

$$f_1(a) + c_{\underline{i}_1}(1), \dots, f_n(a) + c_{\underline{i}_1}(n),$$

and if we let

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{i}_2 &= (d-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0), \\ \underline{i}_3 &= (d-1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 0), \\ &\dots \\ \underline{i}_n &= (d-1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

then (18) can be rewritten as

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_1}(1) + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(a) & d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_2}(1) + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(a) & \dots & d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_n}(1) + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(a) \\ d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_1}(2) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(a) & d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_2}(2) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(a) & \dots & d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_n}(2) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n}(a) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_1}(n) + \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1}(a) & d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_2}(n) + \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_2}(a) & \dots & d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_n}(n) + \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n}(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This determinant is essentially the determinant of the general n-by-n matrix $\det(x_{\alpha,\beta})$.

More precisely, identify $\mathcal{O}_{H_k^d}$ with $k[x_{\alpha,\beta}]$ by setting $d \cdot c_{\underline{i}_\beta}(\alpha) + \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta}(a) = x_{\alpha,\beta}$ (and, say, arbitrarily matching the remaining variables $c_{\underline{i}_\beta}(\alpha)$, $i_\beta \neq \underline{i}_1, \dots, \underline{i}_n$, in $\mathcal{O}_{H_k^d}$ with the remaining variables in $k[x_{\alpha,\beta}]$). This identification identifies the determinant under consideration with the determinant $\det(x_{\alpha,\beta})$ of the general n-by-n matrix and identifies the elements (17) with linear polynomials, say $A_1 x_{1,1} + B_1, A_2 x_{2,1} + B_2, \dots, A_n x_{n,1} + B_n$.

Now consider $\det(x_{\alpha,\beta})$ as a function $\det(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of the column vectors. Under the identification of (18) with $\det(x_{\alpha,\beta})$, the image of (18) in the quotient ring is identified with $\det(\overline{v}_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ for $\overline{v}_1 = (-B_1/A_1, -B_2/A_2, \dots, -B_n/A_n)$. By the hypothesis $\sum \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}(a) \cdot a_i \neq d \cdot f_1(a)$, so $-B_1/A_1 \neq 0$ and $\overline{v}_1$ is not the zero vector. We conclude that $\det(\overline{v}_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ is nonzero because e.g. we can extend $\overline{v}_1$ to a basis $\overline{v}_1, \dots, \overline{v}_n$ and then $\det(\overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2 \dots, \overline{v}_n) \neq 0$ by the fundamental property of the determinant. □

Lemma 21. *Let* $f\colon \mathbf{A}_k^n \to \mathbf{A}_k^n$ *be a nonzero polynomial map satisfying* $f(0) = 0$ *and* d *an integer greater than the degrees of* $f_1, \dots, f_n$ *and coprime to* p. *Then the subset* $S \subset H_k^d$ *of* h*'s such that* $f + h$ *is étale at every point of* $(f + h)^{-1}(0) - 0$ *contains a nonempty Zariski open subset.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by proving that the Zariski closure of the complement of S in H_k^d has dimension strictly smaller than $\dim H_k^d$, hence the complement of S cannot be Zariski dense.

Consider

$$\Delta = \left\{ (h, a) \colon (f + h)(a) = 0, \det\left(\tfrac{\partial(f_i + h_i)}{\partial x_j}(a)\right) = 0, a \neq 0 \right\} \subset H_k^d \times (\mathbf{A}_k^n - 0).$$

The subset S is the image $\pi_1(\Delta)$ of Δ under the first projection $\pi_1\colon H_k^d \times \mathbf{A}_k^n \to H_k^d$. We bound dimensions by analyzing the second projection $\pi_2\colon H_k^d \times \mathbf{A}_k^n \to \mathbf{A}_k^n$.

To bound the dimension, we argue as follows. Some f_i is nonzero since f is nonzero, and without loss of generality, we can assume $f_1 \neq 0$. Because d is coprime to p and strictly larger than the degree of f_1, the polynomial $\sum \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot a_i - d \cdot f_1(x)$ is nonzero. We conclude that

$$B := \{a \in \mathbf{A}_k^n \colon \sum \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}(a) \cdot a_i = d \cdot f_1(a)\}.$$

has codimension 1 in $\mathbf{A}_k^n$. We separately bound $\Delta \cap \pi_2^{-1}(B)$ and $\Delta \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_k^n - B)$.

The fibers of $\pi_2\colon \Delta - \pi_2^{-1}(B) \to \mathbf{A}_k^n - B$ have codimension $n+1$ by Lemma 19, so by [EGAIV2, Proposition 5.5.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \Delta \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_k^n - B) &\leq \dim(\mathbf{A}_k^n - B) + \dim \Delta_a \\ &= n + \dim H_k^d - (n+1) \\ &< \dim H_k^d.\end{aligned}$$

By similar reasoning

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \pi_2^{-1}(B) \cap \Delta &\leq \dim B + \dim \Delta_a \\ &= n - 1 + \dim H_k^d - n \\ &< \dim H_k^d.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\pi_1\colon \Delta \to H_k^d$ cannot be dominant for dimensional reasons [EGAIV2, Theorem 4.1.2]. The complement of the closure of $\pi_1(\Delta)$ can thus be taken as the desired Zariski open subset. □

Proposition 22. *Let* $f\colon \mathbf{A}_k^n \to \mathbf{A}_k^n$ *be a nonzero polynomial function satisfying* $f(0) = 0$. *Then there exists an odd degree extension* L/k *such that* $f \otimes_k L$ *is equivalent to a function satisfying Assumption 18. If* k *is infinite, we can take* $L = k$.

Proof. The function f is finitely determined by Lemma 15, so say it is b-determined for $b \in \mathbf{Z}$. Choose d to be an integer coprime to p and larger than both b and the degrees of the f_i's. We claim that there exists an odd degree field extension L/k and a degree d homogeneous polynomial function $h \in H_k^d(L)$ such that $h^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and $g := (f \otimes_k L) + h$ is étale at every point of $g^{-1}(0) - 0$. To verify the claim, observe that Lemmas 19 and 21 imply that the subset of all such h's contains a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset H_k^d$. If k is an infinite field, $U(k)$ must be non-empty, so we take $L = k$. Otherwise, k is a finite field, say $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For n a sufficiently large odd number, $U(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})$ is nonempty since the union $\cup \mathbf{F}_{q^n}$ over all odd n is an infinite field. In this case, we take $L = \mathbf{F}_{q^n}$ for any sufficiently large odd n. The function $f \otimes_k L$ is also b-determined, and we complete the proof by showing that $g := (f \otimes_k L) + h$ satisfies Assumption 18.

To ease notation, we only give the proof in the case $L = k$ (the general case involves only notational changes). Define degree d homogeneous polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 :=& X_0^d, \\ G_1 :=& X_0^d \cdot f_1(X_1/X_0, \dots, X_n/X_0) + h_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \\ & \dots \\ G_n :=& X_0^d \cdot f_n(X_1/X_0, \dots, X_n/X_0) + h_n(X_1, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

The only solution $(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ to $G_0 = G_1 = \cdots = G_n = 0$ over $\overline{k}$ is $(0, \dots, 0)$ because from the first equation we deduce $X_0 = 0$ and then from the remaining equations we deduce that $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ lies in $h^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. It follows from general formalism that $G = [G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n]$ defines a morphism $G\colon \mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ such that $G^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \mathcal{O}(d)$. Moreover, by construction G is an extension of g that satisfies $G^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^n_k) \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$.

To complete the proof, we need to show that G is finite, flat, and induces a field extension $\operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k} \subset \operatorname{Frac} G_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$ of degree coprime to p. To see that G is finite, observe that the pullback $G^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$ of a hyperplane $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbf{P}^n_k$ has nonnegative degree on every curve (since the associated line bundle is $G^*\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(d)$, an ample line bundle). We conclude that a fiber of G cannot contain a curve since $G^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$ can be chosen to be disjoint from a given fiber. In other words, G has finite fibers. Being a morphism of projective schemes, G is also proper and hence finite by Zariski's main theorem. This implies that G is flat since every finite morphism $\mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ is flat by [Mat89, Corollary to Theorem 23.1].

Finally, we complete the proof by noting that the degree of $\operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k} \subset \operatorname{Frac} G_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$ equals d^n, the top intersection number $G^*(\mathcal{H}^n) = \int c_1(\mathcal{O}(d))^n$. (To deduce the equality, observe that $\mathcal{H}^n$ is the class of a k-point $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{P}^n_k(k)$, so the top intersection number is the k-rank of $\mathcal{O}_{G^{-1}(\overline{y})}$, the stalk of $G_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$ at $\overline{y}$. The rank of that stalk is equal to the rank of any other stalk of $G_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$ since $G_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$, being finite and flat, is locally free. In particular, that rank equals the rank of the generic fiber, which is the degree of $\operatorname{Frac} G_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k} \supset \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n_k}$.) □

4. The family of symmetric bilinear forms

In this section we construct, for a given finite polynomial map $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$, a family of symmetric bilinear forms over $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ such that the fiber over the origin contains a summand that represents the ELK class $w_0(f)$. This family has the property that the stable isomorphism class of the fiber over $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ is independent of $\overline{y}$, and we use this property in Section 5 to compute $w_0(f)$ in terms of a regular value. Finally, we compute the stable isomorphism class of the family over an étale fiber.

Throughout this section f denotes a finite polynomial map, except in Remark 33 where we explain what happens if the finiteness condition is weakened to quasi-finiteness.

The basic definition is the following.

Definition 23. Define the **family of algebras** $\widetilde{Q} = \widetilde{Q}(f)$ associated to f by

$$\widetilde{Q} := f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^n_k}.$$

Concretely $\widetilde{Q}$ is the ring P_x considered as a P_y-algebra by the homomorphism $y_1 \mapsto f_1(x), \dots, y_n \mapsto f_n(x)$ or equivalently the algebra $P_y[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x) - y_1, \dots, f_n(x) - y_n)$. Given $(\overline{y}_1, \dots, \overline{y}_n) = \overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(L)$ for some field extension L/k, the fiber $\widetilde{Q} \otimes k(\overline{y})$ is the L-algebra $L[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x) - \overline{y}_1, \dots, f_n(x) - \overline{y}_n)$. This algebra decomposes as

$$\widetilde{Q} \otimes k(\overline{y}) = Q_{x_1}(f) \times \cdots \times Q_{x_m}(f),$$

where $Q_x(f)$ is as in Definition 1 and the product runs over all closed points $x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})$.

The algebra $\widetilde{Q}$ has desirable properties because we have assumed that f is finite.

Lemma 24. *The elements* $f_1(x) - y_1, \dots, f_n(x) - y_n \in P_y[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ *form a regular sequence, and* $\widetilde{Q}$ *is* P_y*-flat.*

Proof. It is enough to show that, for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset P_y$, the images of $y_1 - f_1(x), \dots, y_n - f_n(x)$ in $(P_y/\mathfrak{m})[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ form a regular sequence. The quotient of $P_y[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by the sequence is the structure ring of $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$, which is 0-dimensional by hypothesis. In particular, the images of $y_1 - f_1(x), \dots, y_n - f_n(x)$ generate a height n ideal and hence they form a regular sequence by [Mat89, Theorem 17.4(i)]. □

As we mentioned in Section 1, Scheja–Storch have constructed a distinguished symmetric bilinear form β_0 on $Q_0(f)$ that represents $w_0(f)$. In fact, they construct a family $\widetilde{\beta}$ of symmetric bilinear forms on $\widetilde{Q}$ such that the fiber over 0 contains a summand that represents $w_0(f)$. The family is defined as follows.

Definition 25. Let $\widetilde{\eta}\colon \widetilde{Q} \to P_y$ be the generalized trace function, the P_y-linear function defined on [SS75, page 182]. Let $\widetilde{\beta}$ be the symmetric bilinear form $\widetilde{\beta}\colon \widetilde{Q} \to P_y$ defined by $\widetilde{\beta}(\widetilde{a}_1, \widetilde{a}_2) = \widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{a}_1 \cdot \widetilde{a}_2)$. Given $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_n(L)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})$, we write η_x and β_x for the respective restrictions to $Q_x(f) \subset \widetilde{Q} \otimes k(\overline{y})$. We write $w_x(f) \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$ for the isomorphism class of $(Q_x(f), \beta_x)$.

Remark 26. We omit the definition of $\widetilde{\eta}$ because it is somewhat involved and we do not make direct use of it. We do make use of two properties of $\widetilde{\eta}$. First, the homomorphism has strong base change properties. Namely, for a noetherian ring A and an A-finite quotient B of $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by a regular sequence, Scheja–Storch construct a distinguished A-linear function $\eta_{B/A}\colon B \to A$ in a manner that is compatible with extending scalars by an arbitrary homomorphism $A \to \overline{A}$ [SS75, page 184, first paragraph]. Second, the restriction $\eta_0\colon Q_0(f) \to k$ of $\widetilde{\eta}$ satisfies the condition from Definition 6 (by Lemma 27 below). In particular, the definition of $w_0(f)$ in Definition 6 agrees with the definition of $w_x(f)$ from Definition 25 when $x = 0$.

The reader familiar with [EL77] may recall that in that paper, where $k = \mathbf{R}$, the authors do not make direct use of Scheja–Storch's work but rather work directly with the functional on $\widetilde{Q}$ defined by $a \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}(aJ)$. Here Tr is the trace function of the field extension

$\operatorname{Frac}\widetilde{Q}/\operatorname{Frac}P_y$. We do not use the function $a \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(a/J)$ because it is not well-behaved in characteristic $p > 0$ since e.g. the trace can be identically zero.

We now describe the properties of the family $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta})$.

Lemma 27. *The distinguished socle element* E *satisfies* $\eta_0(E) = 1$.

Proof. By the construction of η_0 in [SS75], $\eta_0 = \Theta^{-1}(1)$ for a certain explicit $Q_0(f)$-linear homomorphism $\Theta\colon \operatorname{Hom}_k(Q_0(f), k) \to Q_0(f)$. Furthermore, Scheja–Storch prove $\pi = \Theta^{-1}(E)$ for $\pi\colon Q_0(f) \to k$ the evaluation map $\pi(a) = a(0)$ by [SS75, proof of (4.7) Korollar]. By linearity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\pi) =& E\\ =& E \cdot 1\\ =& E \cdot \Theta(\eta_0)\\ =& \Theta(E \cdot \eta_0),\end{aligned}$$

so $\pi = E \cdot \eta_0$. Evaluating $\pi(1)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}1 =& (E \cdot \eta_0)(1)\\ =& \eta_0(E).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 28. *Let* $\widetilde{Q} \otimes k(\overline{y}) = Q_1 \times Q_2$ *be a decomposition into a direct sum of rings. Then* Q_1 *is orthogonal to* Q_2 *with respect to* $\widetilde{\beta} \otimes k(\overline{y})$.

Proof. By definition $a_1 \cdot a_2 = 0$ for all $a_1 \in Q_1$, $a_2 \in Q_2$, hence $(\widetilde{\eta} \otimes k(\overline{y}))(a_1 \cdot a_2) = 0$. □

Lemma 29. *The bilinear symmetric form* $\widetilde{\beta} \otimes k(\overline{y})$ *is nondegenerate.*

Proof. It is enough to verify the claim after extending scalars, so we can assume L and the residue field of every point of $f^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is k. We then have

$$\widetilde{Q} \otimes k(\overline{y}) = Q_{x_1}(f) \times \cdots \times Q_{x_m}(f)$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ are the points of $f^{-1}(\overline{y})$. Repeated applications of Lemma 28 show that this is an orthogonal decomposition, and the restriction of $\widetilde{\beta} \otimes k(\overline{y})$ to $Q_{x_i}(f)$ is nondegenerate by Lemmas 5 and 27 (translate to identify $Q_{x_i}(f)$ with an algebra supported at the origin). □

Corollary 30. *The symmetric bilinear form* $\widetilde{\beta}$ *is nondegenerate.*

Proof. The P_y-module $\widetilde{Q}$ is finite (by hypothesis) and flat (by Lemma 24), so it is free by the solution to Serre's problem. Fix a basis $\widetilde{e}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{e}_m$ and consider the discriminant $\mathfrak{D} := \det(\widetilde{\beta}(\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{e}_j)) \in P_y$. Since the formation of both the determinant and $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta})$ are compatible with specialization, we deduce from Lemma 29 that $\mathfrak{D}$ is not contained in a maximal ideal of P_y and thus is a unit. □

We now prove that, for $\overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$, the restriction $\widetilde{\beta}$ to the fiber over $\overline{y}_1$ is stably isomorphic to restriction to the fiber over $\overline{y}_2$. This result follows easily from the following form of Harder's theorem.

Lemma 31 (Harder's theorem)**.** *Suppose that $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta})$ is a pair consisting of a finite rank, locally free module $\widetilde{Q}$ on $\mathbf{A}^1_k$ and a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\widetilde{\beta}$ on $\widetilde{Q}$. Then $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta}) \otimes k(\overline{y}_1)$ is stably isomorphic to $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta}) \otimes k(\overline{y}_2)$ for any $\overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^1_k(k)$.*

Proof. When $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$, the stronger claim that $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta})$ is isomorphic to a symmetric bilinear form defined over k is [Lam05, Theorem 3.13]. When $\operatorname{char} k = 2$, the claim can be deduced from loc. cit. as follows. By [Lam05, Remark 3.14], the pair $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\beta})$ is isomorphic to an orthogonal sum of a symmetric bilinear form defined over k and a sum of symmetric bilinear forms defined by Gram matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(y) & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

for $a(y) \in k[y]$, so it is enough to prove the lemma in the special case of a symmetric bilinear form defined by (19). Given $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^1_k(k)$, if $a(\overline{y}) = 0$, the specialization of (19) is $\mathbf{H}$, and otherwise the following matrix identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(\overline{y}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a(\overline{y}) & a(\overline{y}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a(\overline{y}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(\overline{y}) & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a(\overline{y}) & a(\overline{y}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that the specialization is stably isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}$. □

Corollary 32. *The sum*

$$\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} w_x(f) \in \mathrm{GW}(k) \tag{20}$$

is independent of $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$.

Proof. The sum (20) is the class of $\widetilde{\beta} \otimes k(\overline{y})$ by Lemma 28, so since any two k-points of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ lie on a line, the result follows from Lemma 31. □

Remark 33. Corollary 32 becomes false if the hypothesis that f is finite is weakened to the hypothesis that f is quasi-finite (i.e. has finite fibers). Indeed, under this weaker assumption, Scheja–Storch construct a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\beta \otimes k(\overline{y})$ on $\widetilde{Q} \otimes k(\overline{y})$ for every $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$, but the class of $\sum w_x(f)$ can depend on $\overline{y}$. For example, consider $k = \mathbf{R}$ (the real numbers) and $f := (x_1^3 x_2 + x_1 - x_1^3, x_2)$. A computation shows

$$\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} w_x(f) = \begin{cases} \langle 1 \rangle & \text{if } \overline{y}_2 = 1; \\ \langle 1/(\overline{y}_2 - 1) \rangle + \mathbf{H} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so the rank of $\sum w_x(f)$ depends on $\overline{y}$.

The morphism f fails to be finite, and we recover finiteness by passing to the restriction $f\colon f^{-1}(U) \to U$ over $U := \mathbf{A}^2_k - \{y_2 = 1\}$. Over U, the rank is constant, but the isomorphism

class still depends on $\overline{y}$ because

$$\text{signature of} \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} w_x(f) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \overline{y}_2 > 1; \\ -1 & \text{if } \overline{y}_2 < 1. \end{cases}$$

We now compute $w_x(f)$ when f is étale at x.

Lemma 34. *Let $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ be finite and and $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ be a k-rational point. Suppose that f is étale at $x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})$ and L is a finite Galois extension of k such that $k(x)$ embeds into L. Let S be the set*

$$S = \{\overline{x} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(L)\colon \overline{x}(\operatorname{Spec} L) = \{x\}\},$$

of L-points whose image in $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ is x. Define $V(S)$ to be the L-algebra of functions $S \to L$, with point-wise addition and multiplication. Define $\phi\colon S \to k$ by $\phi(\overline{x}) = 1/J(\overline{x})$, and $\beta_\phi\colon V(S) \times V(S) \to L$ to be the bilinear form

$$\beta_\phi(v_1, v_2) = \sum_{s \in S} \phi(s) v_1(s) \cdot v_2(s).$$

The Galois action on S induces an action on $V(S)$, which is descent data.

The k-bilinear space described by the descent data on the L-bilinear space $V(S)$ is isomorphic to the bilinear space $w_x(f) = (Q_x(f), \beta_x)$.

Proof. The Galois action on $V(S)$ is descent data, because J is a polynomial with coefficients in k. To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to construct a k-linear map $Q_x(f) \to V(S)$ that respects the bilinear pairings and realizes $Q_x(f)$ as the equalizer

$$Q_x(f) \to V(S) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\sigma \in G} V(S).$$

where $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$.

Let $V(\phi) : V(S) \to L$ denote the linear functional $V(\phi)(v) = \sum_{s \in S} \phi(s)V(s)$. An element of $Q_x(f)$ is a polynomial function on S, so there is a tautological inclusion $Q_x(f) \to V(S)$, and we will show that this inclusion has the desired properties. The inclusion respects the bilinear forms because the functional $V(\phi)$ restricts to the residue functional η (to see this, extend scalars to L and then observe that, for every summand L of $Q_x(f)$, both η and ϕ map the Jacobian element to 1).

Unraveling the definition of the descent data, we see that the equalizer is the subset of G-invariant functions (i.e. functions $v\colon S \to L$ satisfying $v(\sigma s) = v(s)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, $s \in S$). Because S is finite, every function on S is a polynomial function, and a polynomial function is G-invariant if and only if it can be represented by a polynomial with coefficients in k, i.e. lies in $Q_x(f)$. □

5. Proof of the Main Theorem

We first prove the Main Theorem in the case where f is étale.

Lemma 35. *Let* $f\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ *be a polynomial function that satisfies Assumption 18 and* $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ *be a* k*-rational point. Suppose that* f *is étale at* $x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y})$*. Then*

$$w_x(f) = \deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1} f.$$

Proof. Let $(V(S), \beta_\phi)$ be the bilinear space of Lemma 34. By Lemma 34 and Proposition 14, it suffices to show that the Galois descent datum on $V(S)$ determines the isomorphism class of $\mathrm{Tr}_{k(x)/k}\langle J(x)\rangle$.

The equality $\langle J\rangle = \langle 1/J\rangle$ in the Grothendieck-Witt group shows that $\mathrm{Tr}_{k(x)/k}\langle J(x)\rangle$ has representative bilinear form $B : k(x) \times k(x) \to k$ defined $B(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_{k(x)/k}(xy/J)$. The proposition is equivalent to the statement that there is a $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$-equivariant isomorphism $L \otimes_k k(x) \cong V(S)$ respecting the bilinear forms.

Note that S is in bijective correspondence with the set of embeddings $k(x) \hookrightarrow L$, and that we may therefore view s in S as a map $s : k(x) \to L$. Let $\Theta : L \otimes_k k(x) \to V(S)$ denote the L-linear isomorphism defined by

$$\Theta(l \otimes q)(s) = l(sq).$$

By definition,

$$\beta_\phi(\Theta(1 \otimes q_1), \Theta(1 \otimes q_1)) = \sum_{s\in S} (1/J(s))s(q_1)s(q_2),$$

where $J(s)$ denotes the Jacobian determinant evaluated at the point s, and $s(q_i)$ denotes the image of q_i under the embedding $k(x) \to L$ corresponding to s. Since J is defined over k, we have $J(s) = s(J)$. Thus

$$\sum_{s\in S} (1/J(s))s(q_1)s(q_2) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/k}(q_1q_2/J) = B(q_1, q_2),$$

showing that Θ respects the appropriate bilinear forms.

□

We now use the previous results to prove the Main Theorem.

Proof of Main Theorem. Recall that, after possibly passing from k to an odd degree field extension L/k when k is a finite field, we can assume that f satisfies Assumption 18 by Proposition 22. This allows us to reduce to the case where the assumption is satisfied because the natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{GW}(k) \to \mathrm{GW}(L), w \mapsto w \otimes_k L \tag{21}$$

is injective. Injectivity holds for a somewhat general L/k, but we only need the result in the simple case of a finite field. For finite fields, injectivity can be established as follows. When $\mathrm{char}\, k = 2$, the only invariant of an element of $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ is its rank, so injectivity follows from the observation that extending scalars preserves the rank. When $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 2$, an element of $w \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$ is completely determined by its rank and discriminant. Thus to show injectivity, we need prove that if $\mathrm{disc}(w \otimes_k L) \in (L^*)^2$, then $\mathrm{disc}(w) \in (k^*)^2$, and this result is e.g. a consequence of [Lam05, Corollary 2.6].

Since the formation of $w_0(f)$ is compatible with field extensions and similarly with $\deg_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$, we conclude that it is enough to prove the theorem when f satisfies Assumption 18.

After possibly passing to another odd degree field extension, we can further assume that there exists $\overline{y}_0 \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ such that f is étale at every point of $x \in f^{-1}(\overline{y}_0)$. (To see this: Since any field extension of degree prime to p is separable, f is étale at the generic point [EGAIV4, 17.6.1c']. Therefore f is étale when restricted to a Zariski neighborhood of the generic point [EGAIV4, Définition 17.3.7]. Let Z denote the complement of this open neighborhood. Since finite maps are closed, $f(Z)$ is closed a closed subset of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ not containing the generic point. Thus $\mathbf{A}^n_k - f(Z)$ is a non-empty open subset of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$, which therefore contains a rational point after passing to any infinite field such as the composite of all odd degree finite extensions.)

By these assumptions, f is the restriction of $F\colon \mathbf{P}^n_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$, and F induces a map $\mathbf{P}(F) : \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}_k$ of motivic spheres that has degree

$$\deg^{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathbf{P}(F)) = \sum_{x\in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} \deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(F) \text{ for any } \overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k) \tag{22}$$

by the local degree formula (Proposition 13). In particular, the right-hand side is independent of $\overline{y}$ since the left-hand side is.

Analogously we proved in Section 4 that the sum

$$\sum_{x\in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} w_x(f) \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

is independent of $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ (Corollary 32).

The local terms $w(\beta_x)$ and $\deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(F)$ are equal when F is étale at x by the Lemma 35. As a consequence

$$\sum_{x\in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} \deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(F) = \sum_{x\in f^{-1}(\overline{y})} w_x(f) \tag{23}$$

for $\overline{y} = \overline{y}_0$ and hence (by independence) all $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$. In particular, equality holds when $\overline{y} = 0$. By Assumption 18, the morphism F is étale at every $x \in f^{-1}(0)$ not equal to the origin, so subtracting off these terms from (23), we get

$$\deg_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) = w_0(f).$$

□

6. Application to singularity theory

Here we use the local $\mathbf{A}^1$-degree to count singularities arithmetically, as proposed in the introduction. We assume in this section

$$\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 2,$$

but see Remark 44 for a discussion of $\mathrm{char}\, k = 2$.

Specifically, given the equation $f \in P_x$ of an isolated hypersurface singularity $X \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$ at the origin, we interpret the following invariant as a counting invariant:

Definition 36. If $f \in P_x$ is a polynomial such that $\operatorname{grad} f$ has an isolated zero at the closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$, then we define $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}_x(f) := \deg^{\mathbf{A}^1}_x(\operatorname{grad} f)$. When x is the origin, we write $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$ for this class and call it the **arithmetic Milnor number** (or **$\mathbf{A}^1$-Milnor number**).

Two remarks about this definition:

Remark 37. The condition that $\operatorname{grad} f$ has an isolated zero at x implies that $\operatorname{Spec}(P_x/f)$ has an isolated singularity at x, and the converse is true in characteristic 0 but not in characteristic $p > 0$, as the example of $f(x) = x_1^p + x_2^2$ shows.

Remark 38. When $k = \mathbf{C}$, the arithmetic Milnor number is determined by its rank, which is the classical Milnor number $\mu(f) = \operatorname{rank} Q_0(f)$. The classical Milnor number $\mu(f)$ is not only an invariant of the equation f but in fact is an invariant of the singularity $0 \in \operatorname{Spec}(P_x/f)$ defined by f. When k is arbitrary, the invariant properties of $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$ are more subtle, especially in characteristic $p > 0$. In particular, the rank of $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$ is not an invariant of the singularity in characteristic $p > 0$. For example, both $f(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^p + x^{p+1}$ and $g(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^p + x_2^{2p+1}$ both define the A_{p-1} singularity (in the sense that $\widehat{P}_x/f$, $\widehat{P}_x/g$, and $\widehat{P}_x/(x_1^2 + x_2^p)$ are isomorphic), but the ranks of $w_0(f)$ and $w_0(g)$ are respectively p and $2p$.

We now recall the definition of a node.

Definition 39. The algebra of the **standard node** (or standard A_1-singularity) is

$$\widehat{P}/x_1^2 + \dots x_n^2. \tag{24}$$

Given a hypersurface $X = \operatorname{Spec}(P/f) \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$, we say that a closed point $x \in X$ is a (possibly nonstandard) **node** (or A_1-singularity) if, for every closed point $\widetilde{x} \in X \otimes_k \overline{k}$ mapping to x, the completed local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X\otimes\overline{k},\widetilde{x}}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of the standard node.

We say that $f \in P$ is **the equation of a node** at a closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ if $x \in \operatorname{Spec}(P/f)$ is a node. Suppose L/k is a field extension, $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ a closed point, and $f \in P \otimes_k L$ an equation that defines a node at every point $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbf{A}^n_L$ in the preimage of x. If $\operatorname{grad}(f)$ lies in P, then we define **arithmetic type** of the equation at x to be $\deg^{\mathbf{A}^1}_x \operatorname{grad}(f) \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$.

The arithmetic type just defined is the invariant discussed in the introduction. Indeed, if $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ is the origin and $f = u_1x_1^2 + \dots + u_nx_n^2$, then $\operatorname{grad}(f) = (2u_1x_1, \dots, 2u_nx_n)$, so the arithmetic type of f at x.

$$\left\langle \det \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0) \right\rangle = \langle 2^n u_1 \dots u_n \rangle.$$

Somewhat more generally, the arithmetic type of $f \in P$ at a point $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ with residue field k is given by the Hessian.

When f has coefficients in k but $k(x)$ is a nontrivial extension of k, the arithmetic type can be described as follows. If L/k splits $k(x)$, then every point $\widetilde{x}$ of $\mathbf{A}^n_L$ mapping to x has

residue field L, so as an element of $\mathrm{GW}(L)$, the arithmetic type of $f \otimes L$ at $\widetilde{x}$ is the value of the Hessian. The symmetric bilinear form

$$(\text{arithmetic type of } f \otimes L \text{ at } \widetilde{x}_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\text{arithmetic type of } f \otimes L \text{ at } \widetilde{x}_m)$$

defined by summing over all $\widetilde{x}$'s carries natural descent data, and this symmetric bilinear form over L with descent data corresponds to the arithmetic type of f at x.

Finally, the arithmetic type is also defined for a closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}^n_k$ and an equation $f \in P \otimes_k L$ with coefficients in L provided certain hypotheses are satisfied. An example where the hypotheses are satisfied is when $k = \mathbf{Q}_5$ (the 5-adic numbers), $L = \mathbf{Q}_5(\sqrt{-5})$,

$$f(x,y) = x_1^2 + x_2^3 + 2 \cdot 3x_2 - 10\sqrt{-5} \text{ and} \qquad (25)$$
$$x = (x_1, x_2^2 + 5).$$

Observe that f is an equation obtained from an equation with coefficients in k (namely $x_1^2 + x_2^3 + 2 \cdot 3x_2$) and adding an element of L, and such equations naturally arise when interpreting the arithmetic Milnor number as a count of nodes. We demonstrate how such equations arise in the example following Corollary 43.

When n is odd, the arithmetic type is an invariant of the equation f at x and not the pointed subscheme $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(P/f) \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$ the equation defines. Indeed, both $f = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ and $-f$ define the standard node, but the arithmetic types are respectively $\langle 2^n \rangle$ and $\langle (-2)^n \rangle$. For n is odd, these two classes are equal only when -1 is a perfect square.

When n is even, the arithmetic type has better invariance properties. In this case, the arithmetic type is an invariant of the pointed k-scheme $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{P}/f)$, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 40. *Assume n is even. If*

$$x \in X = \mathrm{Spec}(P_x/f),$$
$$y \in Y = \mathrm{Spec}(P_y/g)$$

are nodes with the property that the local algebras $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$ are isomorphic, then

$$\textit{the arithmetic type of } f \textit{ at } x = \textit{ the arithmetic type of } g \textit{ at } y.$$

Proof. By a descent argument, we can reduce to the case where both residue fields $k(x)$ and $k(y)$ equal k. Under this assumption, we can further assume $x = y$ is the origin, so the two local degrees $\deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{grad}(f))$ and $\deg_y^{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{grad}(g))$ are respectively the classes of the Hessians $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)\right)$ and $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(0)\right)$. We have assumed that the local algebras $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} = \widehat{P}_x/f$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} = \widehat{P}_y/g$ are isomorphic, so suppose $y_1 \mapsto a_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, y_n \mapsto a_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ defines an isomorphism. The series $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ must satisfy

$$f = u \cdot g(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \text{ for some } u \in (\widehat{P}_x)^*. \qquad (26)$$

Computing the Hessian of f using (26), we deduce

$$\det\left(\tfrac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)\right) = u(0)^n \cdot \det\left(\tfrac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)\right)^2 \cdot \det\left(\tfrac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(0)\right)$$

Since n is even, this shows that the Hessian of f at 0 differs from the Hessian of g at 0 by a square in k, so the two Hessians represent the same element of $\mathrm{GW}(k)$. □

While the arithmetic type is an invariant of a node when n is even, it does not, in general, determine the isomorphic class of a node, as the following example shows.

Example 41. The equations $f = x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2 \in \mathbf{R}[w,x,y,z]$ and $g = x^2+y^2-z^2-w^2 \in \mathbf{R}[w,x,y,z]$ both define a node at the origin with arithmetic type $\langle+1\rangle$. The algebras $\mathbf{R}[[w,x,y,z]]/f$ and $\mathbf{R}[[w,x,y,z]]/g$ are not isomorphic because e.g. the projectivized tangent cones are not isomorphic (as $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathbf{R}[W,X,Y,Z]/X^2+Y^2-Z^2-W^2)$ has infinitely many $\mathbf{R}$-points, but $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathbf{R}[W,X,Y,Z]/X^2+Y^2+Z^2+W^2)$ has none).

We now use the notion of arithmetic type to identify $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f)$ as a count of nodes.

Lemma 42. *Suppose that* $g\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ *is a finite morphism that is étale at every point* $x \in g^{-1}(0)-\{0\}$. *For any* $\overline{y} \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ *such that* g *is étale at every point of* $x \in g^{-1}(\overline{y})$, *we have*

$$\sum_{x\in g^{-1}(0)} \deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(g) = \sum_{x\in g^{-1}(\overline{y})} \deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(g). \tag{27}$$

Proof. By the Lemma 14 and Main Theorem, we have $\deg_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(g) = w_x(g)$ for all x's appearing in Equation (27), and the analogous result for $w_x(g)$ is Corollary 32. □

Corollary 43. *Let* $X = \mathrm{Spec}(P_x/f)$ *be a hypersurface defined by an equation* f *such that* $\mathrm{grad}(f)\colon \mathbf{A}^n_k \to \mathbf{A}^n_k$ *is a finite morphism that is étale at every point of* $x \in g^{-1}(0)$. *If* $(a_1,\dots,a_n) \in \mathbf{A}^n_k(k)$ *has the property that the family*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^n_k &\to \mathbf{A}^1_k, \\ x &\mapsto f(x) + \sum a_i x_i \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

has only nodal fibers, say $x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_m \in X_m$, *then*

$$\sum_{x\in X} \mu_x^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) = \sum (\textit{arithmetic type of } f \textit{ at } x_i \in X_i) \textit{ in } \mathrm{GW}(k). \tag{29}$$

If additionally f *is separable, then there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset* $U \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$ *such that* (28) *has only nodal fibers for* $(a_1,\dots,a_n) \in U(k)$.

Proof. Equation (29) is a restatement of Lemma 42.

To construct U, observe that since $\mathrm{grad}\, f$ is separable, the locus of points $V \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$ where $\mathrm{grad}\, f$ is étale contains the generic point of $\mathbf{A}^n_k$ and hence is a nonempty Zariski open subset. The subset $\mathrm{grad}\, f(\mathbf{A}^n_k - V) \subset \mathbf{A}^n_k$ is closed because $\mathrm{grad}\, f$ is proper and so $U := \mathbf{A}^n_k - \mathrm{grad}\, f(\mathbf{A}^n_k - V)$ has the desired properties. □

A special case of Corollary 43 is the result about the cusp (or A_2) singularity discussed at the end of the introduction. From Table 1, we see that the equation f of the cusp satisfies $\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) = \mathbf{H}$. When $k = \mathbf{Q}_5$ (the 5-adic numbers), a comparison of discriminants shows

$\mu^{\mathbf{A}^1}(f) \neq \langle 1\rangle + \langle 2\rangle$, so the cusp cannot bifurcate to the split node $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0\}$ and the nonsplit node $\{x_1^2 + 2\cdot x_2^2 = 0\}$, in the sense of Corollary 43.

Somewhat more generally, up to isomorphism, the nodes over $k = \mathbf{Q}_5$ with residue field k are

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2,\ x_1^2 + 2\cdot x_2^2,\ x_1^2 + 5\cdot x_2^2, \text{ and } x_1^2 + 5\cdot 2\cdot x_2^2. \tag{30}$$

If the cusp bifurcates to two of these nodes, then, by Corollary 43, the two nodes must be isomorphic. There are no further obstructions: the family $x \mapsto f(x) + -u^2/3\cdot x$ contains two nodes which are isomorphic to $\{x_1^2 + u\cdot x_2^2 = 0\}$.

The nodes in (30) are all nodes with residue field k, and the cusp can bifurcate to more complicated nodes. For example, the only singular fiber of $x \mapsto f(x) + 3\cdot 5\cdot x_2$ is the fiber over the closed point $(t^2 + 4\cdot 5^3)$, a closed point with residue field $\mathbf{Q}_5(\sqrt{-5})$. This family illustrates the reason for defining the arithmetic type as we have done: the arithmetic type of the nodal fiber is the arithmetic type of an equation with coefficients in a nontrivial extension of k, namely the equation in (25).

Additional examples describing the collections of nodes that a singularity can bifurcate to can be found in [KW16b].

Remark 44. We conclude with a remark about the assumption that $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$. When $\operatorname{char} k = 2$, the equation $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2$ does not define an isolated singularity, and instead the standard node should be defined as the hypersurface singularity defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x_1^2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{x-1}x_n & n \text{ odd;} \\ x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Taking this as the definition of the standard node, one can then define arbitrary nodes and their arithmetic types as before, but this arithmetic type is a weak invariant. For example, consider the node that is defined by $f(x) = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + ux_2^2$ for $u \in k$. The gradient function $\operatorname{grad} f(x) = (x_2, x_1)$ does not depend on u so the arithmetic type, and any other invariant obtained from the gradient, does not depend on u. The isomorphism class of the node does depend on u: the isomorphism class is classified by the image of u in $k/\{v^2 + v \colon v \in k\}$.

7. Acknowledgements

TO BE ADDED AFTER THE REFEREE PROCESS.

Jesse Leo Kass was partially sponsored by the National Security Agency under Grant Number H98230-15-1-0264. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints notwithstanding any copyright notation herein. This manuscript is submitted for publication with the understanding that the United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints.

Kirsten Wickelgren was partially supported by National Science Foundation Award DMS-1406380 and DMS-1552730.

REFERENCES

[AGZV12] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps. Volume 1*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012. Classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts, Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous based on a previous translation by Mark Reynolds, Reprint of the 1985 edition.

[Ayo07] J. Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I. *Astérisque*, (314):x+466 pp. (2008), 2007.

[BCRS96] E. Becker, J. P. Cardinal, M.-F. Roy, and Z. Szafraniec. Multivariate Bezoutians, Kronecker symbol and Eisenbud-Levine formula. In *Algorithms in algebraic geometry and applications (Santander, 1994)*, volume 143 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 79–104. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

[BS11] S. Böttger and U. Storch. On Euler's proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra. *J. Indian Inst. Sci.*, 91(1):75–101, 2011.

[Caz08] C. Cazanave. Classes d'homotopie de fractions rationnelles. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 346(3-4):129–133, 2008.

[Caz12] C. Cazanave. Algebraic homotopy classes of rational functions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 45(4):511–534 (2013), 2012.

[CD12] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise. Triangulated categories of mixed motives. 2012. *Preprint*, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2110`.

[EGAIV2] A. Grothendieck, with J. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (24):231, 1965.

[EGAIV4] A. Grothendieck, with J. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.

[Eis78] D. Eisenbud. An algebraic approach to the topological degree of a smooth map. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 84(5):751–764, 1978.

[EL77] D. Eisenbud and H. I. Levine. An algebraic formula for the degree of a C^∞ map germ. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 106(1):19–44, 1977. With an appendix by Bernard Teissier, "Sur une inégalité à la Minkowski pour les multiplicités".

[GI80] D. Ju. Grigor′ev and N. V. Ivanov. On the Eisenbud-Levine formula over a perfect field. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 252(1):24–27, 1980.

[Hoy14] M. Hoyois. A quadratic refinement of the Grothendieck-Lefschetz-Verdier trace formula. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(6):3603–3658, 2014.

[Khi77] G. Khimshiashvili. The local degree of a smooth mapping. *Sakharth. SSR Mecn. Akad. Moambe*, 85(2):309–312, 1977.

[Khi01] G. Khimshiashvili. Signature formulae for topological invariants. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, 125:1–121, 2001.

[KW16a] J. L. Kass and K. Wickelgren. A classical proof that the algebraic homotopy class of a rational function is the residue pairing. 2016. *Preprint*, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.08129`.

[KW16b] J. L. Kass and K. Wickelgren. $\mathbb{A}^1$-Milnor number. In *Oberwolfach Reports*, Report No. 35/2016 DOI: 10.4171. European Mathematical Society, 2016.

[Lam05] T. Y. Lam. *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[Mat89] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.

[Mil68] J. Milnor. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 61. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968.

[Mor04] F. Morel. On the motivic π_0 of the sphere spectrum. In *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, volume 131 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 219–260. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.

[Mor06] F. Morel. $\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 1035–1059. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[Mor12] F. Morel. *$\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology over a field*, volume 2052 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[MV99] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky. $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999.

[Pal67] V. Palamodov. The multiplicity of a holomorphic transformation. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen*, 1(3):54–65, 1967.

[SS75] G. Scheja and U. Storch. Über Spurfunktionen bei vollständigen Durchschnitten. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 278/279:174–190, 1975.

[Voe03] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$-coefficients. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (98):59–104, 2003.

[Wal83] C. T. C. Wall. Topological invariance of the Milnor number mod 2. *Topology*, 22(3):345–350, 1983.

CURRENT: J. L. KASS, DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1523 GREENE STREET, COLUMBIA, SC 29208, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

E-mail address: kassj@math.sc.edu

URL: http://people.math.sc.edu/kassj/

CURRENT: K. WICKELGREN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 686 CHERRY STREET, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160

E-mail address: kwickelgren3@math.gatech.edu

URL: http://people.math.gatech.edu/~kwickelgren3/